1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	RICHARD DUNCAN,	CASE NO. C11-5090RBL
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER
10	v.	01.02.1
11	CORY LARSON; DAMON GULICK;	
12	and JANE WILSON,	
13	Defendant.	
14	THIS MATTER comes on before the above-entitled court upon Plaintiff's Application to	
15	Proceed In Forma Pauperis and proposed Complaint. Having considered the entirety of the	
16	records and file herein, the Court finds and rules as follows:	
17	Plaintiff's Application to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> is deficient because he does not	
18	provide a signed written consent as to recovery. CR 3(b)(2), <u>Local Rules W.D. Wash.</u>	
19	Plaintiff has filed a proposed Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under	
20	42 U.S.C. § 1983, plaintiff must plead that (1) the defendant is a person acting under color of	
21	state law; and (2) defendant's conduct deprived the plaintiff of rights, privileges or immunities	
22	secured by the Constitution or the laws of the United States. See Parratt v. Taylor, 451 U.S. 527,	
23   24	535 (1981) (overruled in part on other grounds by	Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327 (1986)).

Implicit in the second element is a third element of causation. *Mt. Healthy City School Dist. Bd. of Ed. v. Doyle*, 429 U.S. 274, 286-87 (1977).

Plaintiff's Complaint does not provide the Court with enough factual information to determine whether the case has any merit thereby requiring service of the summons and complaint. It appears that plaintiff is alleging that certain individuals who work for Child Protective Services "discriminated" against him. It also appears that there may have been some court hearings resulting in some sort of "agreement" or court order. It is unclear if court proceedings are concluded. By way of relief, plaintiff seeks "a new and complete investigation." He also seeks money damages and "that the CPS procedures be reevaluated and changes be made."

Plaintiff shall file with the Clerk a written consent as to recovery within 14 days of entry of this Order. Plaintiff shall also file within 14 days of entry of this Order an Amended Complaint setting forth in greater detail who did what to whom and when. He shall also indicate which of his rights were violated by the actions of the named defendants. He shall also include in his Amended Complaint what proceedings, if any, occurred in any other court. Plaintiff is notified that this Court does not have jurisdiction to review decisions of state courts in matters such as these. To the extent he wants a "do-over" of prior state court proceedings, this Court cannot provide him with the relief he seeks.

Plaintiff is cautioned that the failure to abide by this Order will result in the denial of his Application to Proceed *In Forma Paupers* and dismissal of his Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk shall send uncertified copies of this order to all counsel of record, and to any party appearing pro se. Dated this 8<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2011. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE